## REMARKS

The Office Action imposes a restriction requirement necessitating the election of one of two allegedly distinct inventions. In response, the Applicant hereby elects, without traverse, the invention of Group I, namely, claims 6-24 and 35-55. Please cancel claims 1-5 and 25-34 without prejudice for later filing in a divisional application.

## CONCLUSION

It is believed that each of the claims now pending in the application is allowable, and that the application as a whole is in proper form and condition for allowance. Examination and allowance is therefore respectfully requested, such that the application may advance to issuance at the earliest possible date. If the Examiner believes that the application can be placed in even better condition for allowance, he is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

WINSTEAD SECHREST & MINICK P.C.
Attorneys for Applicant

By: _____
Kelly K. Kordzik
Reg. No. 36,571

P.O. Box 50784
Dallas, Texas 75201-0784
(512) 370-2851

Houston_1\768507\1
5674-P001US 1/13/2005